arXiv:1602.00677v1 [math.CA] 1 Feb 2016

APPROXIMATE TANGENTS, HARMONIC MEASURE AND DOMAINS WITH RECTIFIABLE BOUNDARIES

MIHALIS MOURGOGLOU

In memory of G. I. Chatzopoulos

ABSTRACT. We show that if $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$ is a closed and weakly lower Ahlfors-David m–regular set, then the set of points where there exists an approximate tangent m-plane, $m \leq d$, can be written as the union of countably many Lipschitz graphs. This implies that any m–rectifiable and weak lower Ahlfors-David m–regular set E, for which $\mathcal{H}^m|_E$ is locally finite, can be written as the union of countably many Lipschitz graphs up to set of $\mathcal{H}^m$-measure zero. Moreover, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 1$ be a connected domain with weak lower Ahlfors-David n-regular and n–rectifiable boundary so that $\mathcal{H}^n|_E$ be locally finite. If the reduced boundary of Ω coincides with its topological boundary up to a set of $\mathcal{H}^n$–measure zero, then $\partial\Omega$ can be covered $\mathcal{H}^n$–almost everywhere by a countable union of Lipschitz domains which are contained in Ω. This implies that in such a domain the Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^n$ is absolutely continuous with respect to harmonic measure ω_Ω.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
Acknowledgements 4
2. Background material 4
3. Proof of Theorems 1.1, 1.3 and 1.4 8
References 10

1. INTRODUCTION

Determining (mutual) absolute continuity of the harmonic measure associated to the Laplace operator and the d-Hausdorff measure in domains with "rough" boundaries has been a hot topic of research in mathematical analysis for almost four decades now. The interest in such questions can be

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 31A15,28A75,28A78.

Key words and phrases. Harmonic measure, absolute continuity, uniform, nontangentially accessible (NTA) domains, rectifiability, porosity.

justified partially by the connection between (a quantitative version of) the absolute continuity of the harmonic measure and the well-posedness of the Dirichlet problem with data in some L^p space (even for elliptic operators of divergence form with merely bounded real coefficients).

Already in 1916, F. and M. Riesz [31] showed that for simply connected planar domains, bounded by a Jordan curve, whose boundary has finite length, harmonic measure and arc-length are mutually absolutely continuous. Their theorem was improved by Lavrentiev [28] demonstrating that in a simply connected domain in the complex plane, bounded by a chord-arc curve, the harmonic measure is in the A_∞ class of Muckehoupt weights. Bishop and Jones [12] proved a local version of F. and M. Riesz theorem by showing that if Ω is a simply connected planar domain and Γ is a curve of finite length, then $\omega \ll \mathcal{H}^1$ on $\partial\Omega \cap \Gamma$, where ω stands for the harmonic measure. They also give an example of a domain Ω whose boundary is contained in a curve of finite length, but $\mathcal{H}^1(\partial\Omega) = 0 < \omega(\partial\Omega)$, thus showing that some sort of connectedness in the boundary is required.

In higher dimensions, the situation is a lot more delicate. The obvious generalization to higher dimensions is false due to examples of Wu and Ziemer: they construct topological two-spheres in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with boundaries of finite Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^2$ where either harmonic measure is not absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathcal{H}^2$ [33] or $\mathcal{H}^2$ is not absolutely continuous with respect to harmonic measure [34], respectively. In the affirmative direction, Dahlberg shows in [16] that in a Lipschitz domain, the harmonic measure and the d-Hausdorff measure restricted to the boundary are A_∞-equivalent. The same result was proved by David and Jerison in [18] under the assumptions that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ is an NTA domain and $\partial\Omega$ is Ahlfors-David regular. Recently, Azzam, Hofmann, Martell, Nyström and Toro [9] showed that any uniform domain with uniformly rectifiable boundary is an NTA domain and thus, $\omega \in A_\infty$ by [18] (a direct proof of the A_∞-equivalence between ω and $\mathcal{H}^d|_{\partial\Omega}$ in this case was given earlier by Hofmann and Martell [22]; the converse implication is proved in [25] and a stronger version of it in [23]). One can also find similar results for domains with uniformly rectifiable boundaries (without the uniformity assumption) in [13]. Hofmann, Martell and Toro [24] recently obtained a characterization of uniform domains with uniformly rectifiable boundaries via the A_∞ equivalence of the elliptic harmonic measure and the d-Hausdorff measure (for second order elliptic operators of divergence form with real, locally Lipschitz coefficients that satisfy a natural Carleson condition).

In [11], Badger shows that if one merely assumes $\mathcal{H}^d|_{\partial\Omega}$ is locally finite and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ is NTA, then we still have $\mathcal{H}^d|_{\partial\Omega} \ll \omega$. He also shows that

$\omega \ll \mathcal{H}^d|_{\partial\Omega} \ll \omega$ on the set

$$\{x \in \partial\Omega : \liminf_{r\to 0} \mathcal{H}^d(B(x,r)\cap\partial\Omega)/r^d < \infty\}.$$

The question whether NTA-ness of the domain is enough to obtain $\omega \ll \mathcal{H}^d|_{\partial\Omega}$ was already answered in the negative by Wolff in [32], with the impressive construction of the so-called Wolff snowflakes. Although, there was a question in [11] whether this could be true under the additional assumption that $\mathcal{H}^d|_{\partial\Omega}$ is locally finite. Recently, Azzam, Tolsa and the author [7] demonstrated that there exists an NTA domain with very flat boundary for which $\mathcal{H}^d|_{\partial\Omega}$ is locally finite and yet, one can find a set $E \subset \partial\Omega$ such that $\omega(E) > 0 = \mathcal{H}^d(E)$.

However, it was left open whether one can show that $\mathcal{H}^d|_{\partial\Omega} \ll \omega$ relaxing the geometric conditions of the domain.In fact, this was done in [30] and [2] simultaneously. It was proved that $\mathcal{H}^d \ll \omega$ on $\partial\Omega$, under the assumption that the domain is uniform and its boundary is Ahlfors-David d-regular and d-rectifiable (all the definitions can be found in section 2). In fact, [30] was slightly more general since instead of upper Ahlfors-David regularity, it was assumed that $\mathcal{H}|_{\partial\Omega}$ is locally finite. It was a real challenge though to weaken the assumptions even further, which we do in the current paper. Let us state our main results.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$ be a closed and weakly lower Ahlfors-David m-regular set. For a fixed $s \in (0, 1/3)$, let $\mathcal{K} \subset \partial\Omega$ be the set of all points $x \in E$ for which there exists an s-approximate tangent m-plane V_x for $\partial\Omega$ at x. Then there exists a countable collection of Lipschitz graphs $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ so that $\mathcal{K} = \cup_{j\geq 1}\Gamma_j$. In particular, $\mathcal{K}$ is m-rectifiable.*

Notice that we do not assume that $\mathcal{H}^m|_E$ is locally finite.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$ be a closed rectifiable and weakly lower Ahlfors-David m-regular set. If $\mathcal{H}^n|_{\partial\Omega}$ is locally finite, then there exists a countable collection of Lipschitz graphs $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ so that $E = \cup_{j\geq 1}\Gamma_j \cup F$, where $\mathcal{H}^m(F) = 0$.*

The corollary above follows from Theorems 1.1 and 2.8.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$ be an open set with weak lower Ahlfors-David $(d-1)$-regular boundary $\partial\Omega$ so that $\mathcal{H}^n|_{\partial\Omega}$ is locally finite and $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial\Omega \setminus \partial^*\Omega) = 0$. If $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ is the collection of the Lipschitz graphs contructed in Theorem 1.1, then for each $j \geq 1$, there is a bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega_{\Gamma_j} \subset \Omega$, so that $\Gamma_j \subset \partial\Omega_{\Gamma_j}$.*

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 1$ be an open connected set with n-rectifiable and weak lower Ahlfors-David n-regular boundary $\partial\Omega$. If $\mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega \setminus \partial^*\Omega) = 0$ and $\mathcal{H}^n|_{\partial\Omega}$ is locally finite, then $\mathcal{H}^n|_{\partial\Omega} \ll \omega^p$.*

The following corollary follows from Theorem 1.4 and the main theorem of [5].

Corollary 1.5. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 1$ be an open connected set with n-rectifiable and weak lower Ahlfors-David n-regular boundary $\partial\Omega$. If $\mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega \setminus \partial^*\Omega) = 0$ and $\mathcal{H}^n|_{\partial\Omega}$ is locally finite, then $\mathcal{H}^n|_{\partial\Omega} \ll \omega^p$ if and only if $\partial\Omega$ is n-rectifiable.*

While this manuscript was in a preliminary form, a preprint by Akman, Bortz, Hofmann and Martell [3] appeared on arxiv, where the authors obtained independently very similar results with the ones we proved in the current paper under slightly weaker assumptions. We would like to emphasize now that our original proofs and results were stated for locally lower Ahlfors-David regular sets but after reading the statements of the theorems in [3], we realized that the same proofs work under the weak lower Ahlfors-David regularity assumption. Also, we had stated Theorem 1.1 only for boundaries of domains, but the same was true for any set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Finally, we had falsely proved Theorem 1.3 under the following wrong claim: if the geometric outer normal unit vector exists at a point of the topological boundary $\partial\Omega$ then the measure-theoretic outer normal unit vector exists as well. The discussion in [3] helped us realize that we needed to assume in addition that the reduced boundary of the domain Ω coincides with its topological boundary apart from a set of $\mathcal{H}^n$-measure zero. Our original proof already contained the use of the properties of the reduced boundary and it was false without this additional assumption. We happily acknowledge the impact of [3] on the improvement of this manuscript.

Acknowledgements. We warmly thank J. Azzam for his encouragement and several discussions pertaining to this work and rectifiability. The author was supported by the ERC grant 320501 of the European Research Council (FP7/2007-2013).

2. Background material

- If $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we let

$$\operatorname{dist}(A,B) = \inf\{|x-y| : x \in A, y \in B\}, \quad \operatorname{dist}(x,A) = \operatorname{dist}(\{x\},A),$$

- $B(x,r)$ stands for the open ball of radius r which is centered at x. We also denote by $\lambda B(x,r) = B(x,\lambda r)$.
- We will write $p \lesssim q$ if there is $C > 0$ so that $p \leq Cq$ and $p \lesssim_M q$ if the constant C depends on the parameter M. We write $p \sim q$ to mean $p \lesssim q \lesssim p$ and define $p \sim_M q$ similarly.

- $G(d,m)$ is the Grassmannian manifold of all m-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^d$.
- We denote by $\pi_V : \mathbb{R}^d \to V$ the orthogonal projection on $V \in G(d,m)$.
- $V^\perp \in G(d, d-m)$ is the orthogonal complement of $V \in G(d,m)$.
- $f : E \subset \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is L-Lipschitz if for all $x, y \in E$,
$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq L|x-y|.$$
- $f : E \subset \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is called L-bi-Lipschitz if for all $x, y \in E$,
$$L^{-1}|x-y| \leq |f(x) - f(y)| \leq L|x-y|.$$

We now recall some elements from geometric measure theory following closely [29].

For $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $s \in (0, d]$ we set

$$\mathcal{H}^s_\delta(A) = \inf\left\{\sum r_i^s : A \subset \bigcup B(x_i, r_i), x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d\right\}.$$

Define the *s-dimensional Hausdorff measure* as

$$\mathcal{H}^s(A) = \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \mathcal{H}^s_\delta(A)$$

and the *s-dimensional Hausdorff content* as $\mathcal{H}^s_\infty(A)$.

Definition 2.1. Let $0 \leq s < \infty$, $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The *upper* and *lower s-densities* of E at x are defined by

$$\Theta^*(E,x) = \limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^s(E \cap B(x,r))}{r^s}$$
$$\Theta_*(E,x) = \liminf_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^s(E \cap B(x,r))}{r^s}.$$

If they agree, their common value is called the *s-dimensional density* of E at x and denoted by $\Theta(E,x)$.

Definition 2.2. We say that a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is *Ahlfors-David s-regular* (*s-ADR*) if there is $C \geq 1$ so that

$$r^s/C \leq \mathcal{H}^s(B(x,r)) \leq Cr^s \text{ for all } x \in E, 0 < r < \operatorname{diam} E. \tag{2.1}$$

If a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies only the lower (resp. upper) bound we shall call it *lower* (resp. *upper*) *Ahlfors-David s-regular*.

Definition 2.3. We say that a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies the *weak lower Ahlfors-David s-regular* condition (*WLADR*) if for $\mathcal{H}^s$-a.e. every $x \in E$, there exists $\rho_x > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{(y,r) \in B(x,r) \times (0, \rho_x)} \frac{\mathcal{H}^s(B(y,r))}{r^s} > 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Definition 2.4. Let $\xi \in E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $V \in G(d,m)$. If $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $s \in (0,1)$ and $0 < r < \infty$, we say that the set

$$\begin{aligned} X(\xi, V, s) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \text{dist}(x-\xi, V) < s|x-\xi|\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \pi_{V^\perp}(x-\xi) < s|x-\xi|\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

is a *cone* around $\xi + V$ with vertex ξ and aperture s. We also set

$$X(\xi, V, s, r) = X(\xi, V, s) \cap B(\xi, r). \tag{2.4}$$

for the truncated cone at height r.

Remark 2.5. Notice that the complement ${}^cX(\xi, V, s)$ is actually the closure of the cone $X(\xi, V^\perp, \sqrt{1-s^2})$.

Definition 2.6. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $V \in G(d,m)$. For fixed $s \in (0,1)$, we say that V is an *s-approximate tangent m-plane* for E at ξ if $\Theta^{*,m}(E,\xi) > 0$,

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^m(E \cap B(\xi, r) \setminus X(\xi, V, s))}{r^m} = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

If this holds for all $s \in (0,1)$ then we just say that V is an *approximate tangent m-plane* for E at ξ. We write ap-Tan$^m(E,\xi)$ for the set of all approximate tangent m-planes for E at ξ.

Definition 2.7. If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a Borel set, we say that E is *m-rectifiable* if $\mathcal{H}^m(E \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \Gamma_i) = 0$ where $\Gamma_i = f_i(E_i)$, $E_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$, and $f_i : E_i \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is Lipschitz.

The criterion for rectifiability which will be most useful for us is the following.

Theorem 2.8. [29, Theorem 15.19] *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a $\mathcal{H}^m$-measurable set so that $\mathcal{H}^m|_E$ is locally finite. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) E is m-rectifiable.
(2) For $\mathcal{H}^m$ almost every point $\xi \in E$, there is a unique approximate tangent m-plane for E at ξ.
(3) For $\mathcal{H}^m$ almost every point $\xi \in E$, there is some approximate tangent m-plane for E at ξ.

Definition 2.9. A function $f \in L^1_{loc}(U)$ has *locally bounded variation* in an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and we write $f \in BV_{\text{loc}}(U)$, if for each open set $V \Subset U$,

$$\sup \left\{ \int_V f \ \text{div}\phi \ d\mathcal{L}^{n+1} : \phi \in C_c^\infty(V; \mathbb{R}^{n+1}),\ |\phi| \leq 1 \right\} < \infty,$$

where $\mathcal{L}^{n+1}$ stands for the $(n+1)$-dimensional Lebesgue measure. An $\mathcal{L}^{n+1}$-measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ has *locally finite perimeter* in U if $\chi_E \in BV_{loc}(U)$.

Definition 2.10. For each $x \in \partial^* E$ we define the *hyperplane*

$$H(x) = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \nu_E(x) \cdot (y - x) = 0 \right\}$$

and the *half-spaces*

$$H^+(x) = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \nu_E(x) \cdot (y - x) \geq 0 \right\},$$
$$H^-(x) = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \nu_E(x) \cdot (y - x) \leq 0 \right\}.$$

A unit vector $\nu_E(x)$ is called the *measure theoretic unit outer normal* to E at x if

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(B(x,r) \cap E \cap H^+(x))}{r^{n+1}} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}^{n+1}((B(x,r) \setminus E) \cap H^-(x))}{r^{n+1}} = 0.$$

Definition 2.11. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We say that $x \in \partial_* E$, the *measure theoretic boundary* of E, if

$$\limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(B(x,r) \cap E)}{r^{n+1}} > 0$$

and

$$\limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}^{n+1}((B(x,r) \setminus E)}{r^{n+1}} > 0.$$

Remark 2.12. Note that $\partial^* E \subset \partial_* E$ and $\mathcal{H}^n(\partial_* E \setminus \partial^* E) = 0$ (see [21, p. 208]). Moreover, if E has locally finite perimeter, then $\|\partial E\| = \mathcal{H}^n|_{\partial^* E}$ (see [21, p. 205]).

A useful criterion that allows us to determine whether a set has locally finite perimeter, whose proof can be found in [21, p. 222], is the following:

Theorem 2.13. *If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is $\mathcal{L}^{n+1}$–measurable, then it has locally finite perimeter if and only if $\mathcal{H}^n(K \cap \partial_* E) < \infty$, for each compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.*

Definition 2.14. Let E be a set of locally finite perimeter in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We say that $x \in \partial^* E$, the *reduced boundary* of E, if

(1) $\|\partial E\|(B(x,r)) > 0$, for all $r > 0$,
(2) $\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{\|\partial E\|(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} \nu_E(y)\, d\|\partial E\| = \nu_E(x)$, and
(3) $|\nu_E(x)| = 1$.

3. Proof of Theorems 1.1, 1.3 and 1.4

By hypothesis, for a fixed $s \in (0,1)$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ so that (2.2) holds, there exists an m-plane V_ξ passing through the origin so that

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^m(E \cap B(\xi, r) \cap {}^cX(\xi, V_\xi, s))}{r^m} = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.1. *There exists $r_\xi > 0$ so that ${}^cX(\xi, V_\xi, 2s) \cap B(\xi, r_\xi) \cap E = \{\xi\}$.*

Proof. Let ρ_ξ be the radius from the definition of weak Ahlfors-David regularity for the point ξ. Let us assume that we can find a sequence of radii $r_i < \rho_\xi$ so that for each $i \geq 1$, there exists $x_i \in {}^cX(\xi, V_\xi, 2s) \cap B(\xi, r_i) \cap E$. We may choose r_i so that $c_0 r_i \leq |x_i - \xi| < r_i$, for a constant $c_0 \sim 1$ to be fixed momentarily. Moreover, we can find a constant $\delta \sim_s 1$ so that

$$B(x_i, \delta r_i) \subset {}^cX(\xi, V_\xi, s) \cap B(\xi, 2r_i). \tag{3.2}$$

Indeed, since $\pi_{V_\xi^\perp}$ is a linear, 1-Lipschitz map, for any $y \in B(x_i, \delta r_i)$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi_{V_\xi^\perp}(y-\xi)| &= |\pi_{V^\perp}(x_i - \xi) + \pi_{V_\xi^\perp}(y - x_i)| \geq |s|x_i - \xi| - |y - x_i|| \\ &\geq 2c_0 s\, r_i - \delta r_i \geq \frac{2c_0 s - \delta}{1+\delta}|y-\xi| = s|y-\xi|, \end{aligned}$$

if we choose $\delta = \frac{(2c_0-1)s}{1+s}$ and c_0 so that $\delta \sim 1$. The fact that $B(x_i, \delta r_i) \subset B(\xi, 2r_i)$ is trivial. By (3.2) and (3.1), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{(y,r)\in B(\xi,r)\times(0,\rho)} \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(B(y,r))}{r^n} &\leq \lim_{r_i\to 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^m(E \cap B(x_i, \delta r_i))}{r_i^m} \\ &\leq \lim_{r_i \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^m(E \cap {}^cX(\xi, V_\xi, s) \cap B(\xi, 2r_i))}{r_i^m} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which by the weak lower Ahlfors-David m-regularity of $\partial\Omega$ is a contradiction. This concludes our lemma. □

For $V, W \in G(d, d-m)$, we define $d(V,W) = \|\pi_V - \pi_W\|$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the usual operator norm for linear maps. With this metric $G(d, d-m)$ is a compact metric space and thus, for any fixed number $s \in (0, 1/3)$, there is a finite subset of $G(d, d-m)$, say $\mathcal{P}_m(s) = \{V_j\}_{j=1}^{N(s)}$, such that the following holds: for any $V \in G(d, d-m)$, there exists $V_{j_0} \in \mathcal{P}_m$ so that $d(V, W) < s$.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that $\varepsilon > 0$. For any $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$, there exists $j = j(\xi, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $V_j \in \mathcal{P}_m$ and ${}^cX(\xi, V_j^\perp, 2s + \varepsilon) \subset {}^cX(\xi, V_\xi, 2s)$.*

Proof. For fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$, there exists $V_j \in \mathcal{P}_m(\varepsilon)$, so that $d(V_\xi^\perp, V_j) < \varepsilon$. If $y \in {}^cX(\xi, V_j^\perp, 2s+\varepsilon)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{V_\xi^\perp}(y-\xi) &\geq \pi_{V_j}(y-\xi) - |(\pi_{V_j} - \pi_{V_\xi^\perp})(y-\xi)| \\ &\geq (2s+\varepsilon-\varepsilon)|y-\xi| \\ &= 2s|y-\xi|.\end{aligned}$$

This readily shows that $y \in {}^cX(\xi, V_\xi, 2s)$ and finishes our proof. □

We set

$$S_{j,k} = \left\{\xi \in \mathcal{K} : j = j(\xi, s) \text{ and } {}^cX(\xi, V_j^\perp, 3s) \cap B(\xi, k^{-1}) \cap E = \{\xi\}\right\}.$$

Let us fix $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N(s)\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $S_{j,k}$ is separable it has a countably dense subset $\{x_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^\infty$. Therefore, for each $\xi \in S_{j,k}$, there exists ℓ so that $|x_\ell - \xi| < (4k)^{-1}$. Notice that there might be more than one ℓ for each ξ. Although, to any fixed $\xi \in S_{j,k}$, we assign once and for all a unique $\ell(\xi)$ with the requirement that $|x_{\ell(\xi)} - \xi| < (4k)^{-1}$. If we set

$$S_{j,k,\ell} = \{\xi \in S_{j,k} : \ell(\xi) = \ell\},$$

then we get that

$$\mathcal{K} = \bigcup_j \bigcup_k \bigcup_\ell S_{j,k,\ell}. \tag{3.3}$$

Fix now j, k and ℓ and denote $S = S_{j,k,\ell}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $V_j = \mathbb{R}^{d-m}$ and $V_j^\perp = \mathbb{R}^m$ since projections are invariant under rotations.

Lemma 3.3. *S is contained in the graph of a (possibly rotated) $(3s)^{-1}$-Lipschitz function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^{d-m}$.*

Proof. Let $\xi \in S$. Note that if $|\pi_{\mathbb{R}^m}(\xi) - \pi_{\mathbb{R}^m}(\xi')| < \sqrt{1-(3s)^2}|\xi - \xi'|$ and $|\xi - \xi'| < k^{-1}$, then $\xi' \in X(\xi, \mathbb{R}^{d-m}, \sqrt{1-(3s)^2}) \cap B(\xi, k^{-1})$, or else, $\xi' \in {}^cX(\xi, \mathbb{R}^m, 3s) \cap B(\xi, k^{-1})$. By hypothesis, this means that $\xi' \notin \partial\Omega$ and thus, $|\pi_{\mathbb{R}^m}(x) - \pi_{\mathbb{R}^m}(\xi)| \geq 3s|x-\xi|$, for any $x, \xi \in S$. This implies that $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^m}|_S$ is a $3s$-bi-Lipschitz map with $(3s)^{-1}$-Lipschitz inverse

$$\widetilde{f} = (\pi_{\mathbb{R}^m}|_S)^{-1} : \pi_{\mathbb{R}^m}(S) \to \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Note that $S = \widetilde{f}(\pi_{\mathbb{R}^m}(S))$. By Kirszbraun's theorem, we may extend $\widetilde{f}$ to a globally defined $(3s)^{-1}$-Lipschitz function $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^d$ with $f|_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}^m}(S)} = \widetilde{f}$. If we set $\varphi = \pi_{\mathbb{R}^{d-m}} \circ f$, then it is clear that ϕ is $(3s)^{-1}$-Lipschitz and every $x \in S$ belongs to the graph $\Gamma_\phi := \{(y, \phi(y)) : y \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$. □

Theorem 1.1 readily follows from the above lemmas.

proof of Theorem1.3. We apply Theorem 1.1, for $m = d-1$ and $E = \partial^*\Omega \cap \mathcal{K}$ that satisfy the requirements of Theorem1.3 and obtain $\partial^*\Omega \cap \mathcal{K} = \cup_i \Gamma_i$, where Γ_i are $(3s)^{-1}$-Lipschitz graphs. Let us fix j, k, ℓ as before and denote $S_{j,k,\ell} = S$.

We let $\nu(x_\ell)$ be the unit vector perpendicular to $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ that emanates from x_ℓ, so that the endpoint x of the vector $(2k)^{-1}\nu(x_\ell)$ is in Ω. The existence of such a point can be easily derived from [21, Corollary 1, p.203] by simple volume considerations. Recall that for every $\xi \in S$, $|\xi - x_\ell| < (4k)^{-1}$ and thus,

$$\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(\xi - x_\ell) \leq |\xi - x_\ell| \leq (4k)^{-1}, \tag{3.4}$$

for any $\xi \in S$. Set

$$W = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : (x-y)\cdot \nu(x_\ell) = 0\},$$

which is a $(d-1)$-plane perpendicular to $\nu(x_\ell)$ that contains x. Set also $C(\nu(x_\ell), (4k)^{-1})$ to be the infinite cylinder with axis $\nu_j(x_\ell)$ and radius $(4k)^{-1}$. Define now Ω_S to be the part of $C(\nu(x_\ell), (4k)^{-1})$ which is contained between W and the Lipschitz graph Γ_ϕ. By (3.4) and the fact that for every $\xi \in S$ there holds ${}^cX(\xi, V_j^\perp, 3s) \cap B(\xi, k^{-1}) \cap \partial\Omega = \{\xi\}$, it is easy to see that $\Omega_S \subset \Omega$ (using also the definition of the Lipschitz extension from Kirszbraun's theorem). Moreover, by construction, Ω_S is a bounded Lipschitz domain and $S \subset \Gamma_\varphi \cap \partial^*\Omega \subset \partial\Omega_S$. This finishes our proof. □

proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $F \subset \partial\Omega$ such that $\mathcal{H}^n|_{\partial\Omega}(F) > 0$. Then, there exists Ω_j constructed in Theorem 1.3 so that $\mathcal{H}^n|_{\partial\Omega_j}(F) > 0$. Let $p_j \in \Omega_j$ be a Corkscrew point for Ω_j. Then, by Dahberg's result and maximum principle, we have that $\omega_\Omega^{p_j}(F) > 0$. Since Ω is connected, we can connect p_j with p by Harnack chains (which consist from a possibly very large but finite numbers of balls) and our result follows from Harnack's inequality. □

REFERENCES

1. H. Aikawa. *Doubling conditions for harmonic measure in John domains.* Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **58** (2008), no. 2, 429–445.
2. M. Akman, M. Badger, S. Hofmann, and J. M. Martell. *Rectifiability and elliptic measures on* 1*-sided NTA domains with Ahlfors-David regular boundaries.* Preprint 2015. arXiv:1507.02039.
3. M. Akman, S. Bortz, S. Hofmann, and J. M. Martell. *Rectifiability, interior approximation and Harmonic Measure*. Preprint 2015. arXiv:1601.08251.
4. J. Azzam. *Sets of absolute continuity for harmonic measure in NTA domains*, arXiv:1410.2782.
5. J. Azzam, S. Hofmann, J.M. Martell, S. Mayboroda, M. Mourgoglou, X. Tolsa, and A. Volberg, *Rectifiability of harmonic measure*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.08386 (2015).

6. J. Azzam, S. Hofmann, J.M. Martell, K. Nyström, and T. Toro, *A new characterization of chord-arc domains*, to appear in J. Eur. Math. Soc..
7. J. Azzam, M. Mourgoglou, and X. Tolsa. *Singular sets for harmonic measure on locally flat domains with locally finite surface measure*, arXiv:1501.07585.
8. J. Azzam, M. Mourgoglou, and X. Tolsa. *Rectifiability of harmonic measure in domains with porous boundaries*, arXiv:1505.06088.
9. J. Azzam, S. Hofmann, J.M. Martell, K. Nyström, and T. Toro, *A new characterization of chord-arc domains*, to appear in J. Eur. Math. Soc..
10. J. Azzam and R. Schul, *Hard Sard: Quantitative Implicit Function and Extension Theorems for Lipschitz Maps*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **22** (2012), no. 5, 1062–1123. MR 2989430
11. M. Badger, *Null sets of harmonic measure on NTA domains: Lipschitz approximation revisited*, Math. Z. **270** (2012), no. 1-2, 241–262. MR 2875832 (2012k:31008)
12. C. J. Bishop and P. W. Jones, *Harmonic measure and arclength*, Ann. of Math. (2) **132** (1990), no. 3, 511–547. MR 1078268 (92c:30026)
13. S. Bortz and S. Hofmann, *Harmonic measure and approximation of uniformly rectifiable sets*, arXiv:1505.01503.
14. J. Bourgain, *On the Hausdorff dimension of harmonic measure in higher dimension*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), no. 3, 477–483. MR 874032 (88b:31004)
15. M. Christ, *A $T(b)$ theorem with remarks on analytic capacity and the Cauchy integral*, Colloq. Math. **60/61** (1990), no. 2, 601–628. MR 1096400 (92k:42020)
16. B. E. J. Dahlberg, *Estimates of harmonic measure*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **65** (1977), no. 3, 275–288. MR 0466593 (57 #6470)
17. G. David, *Morceaux de graphes lipschitziens et intégrales singulières sur une surface*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **4** (1988), no. 1, 73–114. MR 1009120 (90h:42026)
18. G. David and D. Jerison, *Lipschitz approximation to hypersurfaces, harmonic measure, and singular integrals*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **39** (1990), no. 3, 831–845. MR 1078740 (92b:42021)
19. G. David and S. W. Semmes, *Singular integrals and rectifiable sets in $\mathbf{R}^n$: Beyond Lipschitz graphs*, Astérisque (1991), no. 193, 152. MR 1113517 (92j:42016)
20. ———, *Analysis of and on uniformly rectifiable sets*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 38, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993. MR 1251061 (94i:28003)
21. L.C. Evans and R.F. Gariepy, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*, CRC, 1991.
22. S. Hofmann and J.M. Martell, *Uniform rectifiability and harmonic measure i: Uniform rectifiability implies poisson kernels in l^p*, Ann. Sci. cole Norm. Sup. 47 (2014), no. 3, 577-654.
23. S. Hofmann, J.M. Martell. *Uniform rectifiability and harmonic measure, IV: Ahlfors regularity plus Poisson kernels in L^p impies uniform rectifiability*, arXiv:1505.06499.
24. S. Hofmann, J.M. Martell, T. Toro. *A^∞ implies NTA for variable coefficients*, preprint
25. S. Hofmann, J.M. Martell, I. Uriarte-Tuero. *Uniform rectifiability and harmonic measure, II: Poisson kernels in L^p imply uniform rectifiability*, Duke Math. J. (2014) no. 8, p. 1601-1654.
26. T. Hytönen and H. Martikainen, *Non-homogeneous Tb theorem and random dyadic cubes on metric measure spaces*, J. Geom. Anal. **22** (2012), no. 4, 1071–1107. MR 2965363

27. D. S. Jerison and C. E. Kenig, *Boundary behavior of harmonic functions in nontangentially accessible domains*, Adv. in Math. **46** (1982), no. 1, 80–147. MR 676988 (84d:31005b)
28. M. Lavrentiev, *Boundary problems in the theory of univalent functions* (Russian), Math Sb. **43** (1936), 815–846; AMS Transl. Series **32** (1963), 1–35.
29. P. Mattila, *Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, Fractals and rectifiability. MR 1333890 (96h:28006)
30. M. Mourgoglou, *Uniform domains with rectifiable boundaries and harmonic measure.* Preprint 2015. arXiv:1505.06167.
31. F. Riesz and M. Riesz: Über Randwerte einer analytischen Functionen. In: Compte rendu du quatrième Congrès des Mathématiciens Scandinaves: tenu à Stockholm du 30 août au 2 Septembre 1916, pp. 27–44. Malmö (1955)
32. T. H. Wolff, *Counterexamples with harmonic gradients in* $\mathbf{R}^3$, Essays on Fourier analysis in honor of Elias M. Stein (Princeton, NJ, 1991), Princeton Math. Ser., vol. 42, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995, pp. 321–384. MR 1315554 (95m:31010)
33. J-M. Wu, *On singularity of harmonic measure in space*, Pacific J. Math. **121** (1986), no. 2, 485–496. MR 819202 (87e:31009)
34. W. Ziemer, *Some remarks on harmonic measure in space*, Pacific J. Math. **55** (1974), no. 2, 629–637.

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, EDIFICI C FACULTAT DE CIÈNCIES, 08193 BELLATERRA (BARCELONA)

E-mail address: mourgoglou@mat.uab.cat